## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
VS.	)	Case No. S1-4:09CR0345 DJS/TCM
	)	
SUSAN FEAMAN,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

## **ORDER**

This matter is before the Court upon Defendant's motion to determine the competency of Defendant Susan Feaman ("Defendant") under 18 U.S.C. §§ 4241 and 4242. Upon application of Defendant, the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge ordered a psychiatric examination of Defendant on June 5, 2009. Thereafter, Defendant was transferred to a United States medical facility, to complete the psychiatric examination.

On <u>August 27, 2009</u>, the Court received a Forensic Report regarding Defendant's mental health evaluation dated <u>August 20, 2009</u>, from the medical facility. Thereafter, the Court noticed counsel for Defendant and the Government that the Court would take up Defendant's motion to determine competency on the <u>September 10, 2009</u>, hearing date. On that date, Defendant appeared with counsel as did counsel for the Government. Counsel for the Government requested that the Court accept the Forensic Report in evidence. There was no objection by Defendant. No other evidence was presented by either party.

The test for determining competency to stand trial is (1) whether Defendant has

sufficient present ability to consult with his lawyer with a reasonable degree of rational

understanding and (2) whether Defendant has a rational, as well as factual, understanding

of the proceedings against him. See Dusky v. United States, 362 U.S. 402, 402 (1960) (per

curiam). The district court is responsible to conduct an evidentiary hearing if there is

"sufficient doubt" about defendant's competency. See United States v. Long Crow, 37 F.3d

1319, 1325 (8th Cir. 1994) (citing <u>United States v. Day</u>, 949 F.2d 973, 981 and n.8) (8th Cir.

1991)).

Based upon the Forensic Report and the applicable law, the Court finds that

Defendant does not suffer from a severe mental disease or defect and has the ability to

understand the nature of the legal proceedings against her. The Court further finds

Defendant to be competent to assist in her own defense.

/s/ Thomas C. Mummert, III

THOMAS C. MUMMERT, III

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated this 10th day of September, 2009.

- 2 -